1	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC		
2	MATTHEW J. MATERN (SBN 159798)	FILED	
	LAUNA ADOLPH (SBN 227743) KAYVON SABOURIAN (SBN 3 RECEIV 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite SongEles suppor	Superior Court of California	
3	1230 Roscotalis Tiveliae, Batte 200 128 Superior		
4	Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 Tel: (310) 531-1900 JUL 02 202	4	
5	Facsimile: (310) 531-1901 S. DREW	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By Lakel Antland, Deputy	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs LISET VIAMONTES	Isabel Arellanes	
7	and ALDO ALPIZAR		
8			
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
10			
11	LISET VIAMONTES, individually, and	CASE NO. BC502472	
12	ALDO ALPIZAR, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION	
13		[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon.	
14	Plaintiffs,	Kenneth R. Freeman, Dept. SSC-14]	
15	vs.	FINAL	
16	ADRIANA'S INSURANCE SERVICES, INC., a California corporation d/b/a	ORDER AND JUDGMENT	
	ADRIANA'S INSURANCE; JUST AUTO		
17	INSURANCE SERVICES, INC., a California corporation d/b/a JUST AUTO INSURANCE;	Complaint Filed: March 7, 2013	
18	VERONICA'S AUTO INSURANCE SERVICES, INC., a California corporation	Trial Date: None set	
19	d/b/a VERÓNICA'S AUTO INSURANCE; LEON FREGOSO, an individual; ADRIANA		
20	GALLARDO FREGOSO, an individual; and		
21	VERONICA GALLARDO, an individual; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	,		
28			
40	li .		



MATERN LAW GROUP, PC 1230 ROSECRANS AVENUE, STE 200 MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266

Plaintiff Aldo Alpizar's ("Alpizar") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and plaintiff Liset Viamontes's ("Viamontes") (collectively with Alpizar, "Plaintiffs") Amended Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement came on for hearing on June 29, 2021, before the Honorable Kenneth R. Freeman. Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees, as defined below, and the Court having considered Alpizar's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and all papers filed in support thereof, including the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement ("Stipulation"), and the Exhibits thereto, and any objections to the proposed Settlement, and Viamontes's Amended Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement and all documents in support thereof, including the Amended Settlement Agreement and Release of PAGA Claims ("PAGA Settlement Agreement"), and having reviewed the record in the Action, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

Alpizar's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement

- 1. The Court, for purposes of this Final Order and Judgment ("Judgment"), adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Stipulation.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over all claims asserted in the Action, Alpizar, the Participating Class Members, and defendants Adriana's Insurance Services, Inc., Just Auto Insurance Services, Inc., Adriana Gallardo Fregoso and Leon Fregoso (collectively, "Adriana Defendants").
- 3. The Court finds that the Stipulation was made and entered into in good faith and hereby approves the Settlement as fair, adequate, and reasonable to all Participating Class Members.
- 4. Solely for purposes of effectuating the Settlement, this Court certifies a class defined as follows: all persons directly employed by Adriana Defendants as non-exempt employees in the State of California at any time from March 7, 2009 through March 11, 2019.
- 5. The notice provided to the Class Members conforms with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and any other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

applicable law, and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, by providing individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and by providing due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein. The notice fully satisfies the requirements of due process.

- 6. The Court finds that the following Class Members have submitted valid and timely requests for exclusion from the Stipulation: Leticia Mancera, Oscar Bernal, Xanadau Almendarez, and Claudia Black.
- 7. Upon the Effective Date, all Participating Class Members shall be deemed to have released the Released Parties of any and all claims, demands, rights, liabilities, and/or causes of action, known and unknown, which were pleaded in the Action or could have been pleaded based upon the factual allegations set forth in the Complaint filed in this Action and arising during the Class Period, including claims for (1) failure to provide meal periods; (2) failure to authorize and permit rest periods; (3) failure to pay overtime wages; (4) failure to pay minimum wages; (5) failure to pay all wages due to discharged and quitting employees; (6) failure to maintain required records; (7) failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements; (8) unfair and unlawful business practices; and (9) penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act.
- 8. The Court finds that the Maximum Settlement Amount, the Net Settlement Amount, and the methodology used to calculate and pay each Participating Class Member's Individual Settlement Payment are fair and reasonable, and authorizes the Settlement Administrator to pay the Individual Settlement Payments to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation.
- 9. The Court finds that Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$283,333.33, which is thirty-three and one-third percent (33 1/3%) of the Maximum Settlement Amount, is reasonable under the common fund method. Additionally, the Court finds that the number of hours Class Counsel spent prosecuting this Action is reasonable and Class Counsel's hourly rates are reasonable and in line with rates prevailing in the community. The Court awards Class Counsel \$283,333.33 in attorneys' fees to be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount pursuant to the Stipulation.

- 10. The Court finds that Class Counsel has incurred costs and expenses in the amount of \$32,724.29. Such costs and expenses were reasonably incurred in prosecuting the Action on behalf of the Class. The Court awards Class Counsel \$32,724.29 in costs and expenses to be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount.
- 11. The Court hereby approves the Class Representative Service Award in the amount of \$7,500.00 to Alpizar for his time and effort in bringing and presenting the Action, to be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount.
- 12. Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) shall be allocated to penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, California Labor Code sections 2698, et seq., of which \$37,500.00 shall be paid by the Settlement Administrator from the Maximum Settlement Amount directly to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency. The remaining \$12,500.00 shall be part of the Net Settlement Amount and shall be distributed to Participating Class Members as part of their Individual Settlement Payments.
- 13. The Court hereby approves Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$15,000.00 for administration of the Stipulation to be paid to the Settlement Administrator from the Maximum Settlement Amount.
- 14. Pursuant to California Rules of Court Rule 3.771(b), notice of this Judgment shall be provided to the Class Members by the Settlement Administrator by posting it on the Settlement Administrator's website.

Viamontes's Amended Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement

- 15. For purposes of this Final Order, the Court adopts all defined terms as set forth in the PAGA Settlement Agreement.
- 16. The Court has jurisdiction over all claims asserted in this Action, Viamontes, the Aggrieved Employees, and defendants Veronica Gallardo and Veronica's Auto Insurance Services, Inc. ("Veronica Defendants"). The Aggrieved Employees include all persons directly employed by Veronica Defendants as non-exempt employees at locations operated by Veronica's Auto Insurance in California during the period from December 21, 2011 through the date of an entry of an order by the Court in this Action approving the PAGA Settlement Agreement.

- 17. Pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"), Labor Code section 2699, et seq., the Court approves the PAGA Settlement Agreement and finds that it is fair and reasonable and furthers PAGA's objectives. The Court finds that notice of the Settlement Agreement has been provided to the LWDA, in compliance with Labor Code § 2699(1)(2).
- 18. Upon the Effective Date, the LWDA and Aggrieved Employees, including Viamontes, shall have, by operation of this Final Order and Judgment, fully released and forever discharged the Released Parties from the following Release Claims: any claims for civil penalties under PAGA, including claims based on alleged violations of California Labor Code sections 201 to 203, 204, 210, 221, 223, 224, 225.5, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 300, 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 1199, 2699, and 2802, and the California Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order, which may have arisen during the PAGA Period.
- 19. The Court finds the Maximum Settlement Amount, the PAGA Fund, and the methodology used to calculate and pay each Aggrieved Employee's Payment are fair and reasonable and authorizes the Settlement Administrator to pay the Aggrieved Employees Payment in accordance with the terms of the PAGA Settlement Agreement.
- 20. The Court finds that Plaintiffs' Counsel's request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$221,666.67, which is one-third of the Maximum Settlement Amount of the PAGA Settlement Agreement, is reasonable under the common fund method. The Court also finds that Plaintiffs' Counsel's request for attorney's fees is reasonable under the lodestar method. The Court finds that the number of hours Plaintiffs' Counsel spent prosecuting this Action is reasonable and Plaintiffs' Counsel's hourly rates are reasonable and in line with rates prevailing in the community. The Court awards Plaintiffs' Counsel \$221,666.67 in attorneys' fees to be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount pursuant to the PAGA Settlement Agreement.
- 21. The Court finds that Plaintiffs' Counsel has incurred \$22,515.13 in additional costs and expenses attributable to this Action. Such costs and expenses were reasonably incurred in prosecuting the Action on behalf of the Aggrieved Employees. The Court awards Plaintiffs' Counsel \$22,515.13 in costs and expenses to be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount.

- 22. The Court approves Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$12,000.00 for administration of the PAGA Settlement Agreement to be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount.
- 23. The Notice of Private Attorneys General Act Settlement attached as Exhibit 1 of the PAGA Settlement Agreement fairly and adequately describes the lawsuit, the approved settlement, and is the best notice practicable under the circumstances.

- 24. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, the Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters related to the administration and consummation of the Settlement, and any and all claims, asserted in, arising out of, or related to the subject matter of the Action, including but not limited to all matters related to the Settlement and the determination of all controversies relating thereto.
- 25. The Court directs that judgment shall be entered in accordance with the terms of this Final Order and Judgment.
- 26. This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition of the Action in its entirety and is intended to be immediately appealable.
- 27. A non-appearance case review re: distribution is set for March 25, 2022, at 4:00 p.m. in Department 14. Plaintiffs shall file a declaration regarding distribution of the settlement funds by noon on March 23, 2022.
 - 28. Final judgment is hereby entered.

DATED: JUL 1 4 2021

HON. KENNETH R. FREEMAN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

*	المهو أس
61	1-14
	11
ŀ	
Ċ	7'5
•	11
۲	- ,4
ζ	10
ŀ	1.,2
ŀ	- A

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 MATERN LAW GROUP, PC 1230 ROSECRANS AVENUE, **STE 200** MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to this action. My business address is 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200, Manhattan Beach, California 90266.

On July 1, 2021. I served the following document or documents:

[AMENDED PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

X BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE (via electronic filing service provider) – electronically transmitting the documents listed above to Case Anywhere, an electronic filing service provider, at www.caseanywhere.com pursuant to the Court's Order Authorizing Electronic in the matter of Liset Viamontes, et al. v. Adriana's Insurance Services, Inc., et LASC Case No. BC502472 mandating electronic service. The transmission(s) was reported as complete and without error to the addresses as stated on the attached service list.

C I I D I I I D	
Goodwin Procter LLP	Representing: Veronica Gallardo
Koray Bulut, Esq. (kbulut@goodwinprocter.com)	Veronica's Auto Insurance Services,
Seth Burns, Esq. (sburns@goodwinlaw.com)	Inc
April Sun, Esq. (asun@goodwinprocter.com)	
Three Embarcadero Center, 24th floor	
San Francisco, CA 94111	
Phone: (415) 733-6000	
Fax: (415) 677-9041	
Michelman & Robinson, LLP	Representing: Adriana Gallardo
Nathan Carle, Esq. (ncarle@mrllp.com)	Fregoso, Adriana's Insurance Services,
Mona Hanna, Esq. (mhanna@mrllp.com)	Inc, Just Auto Insurance Services, Inc.,
Vincent Loh, Esq. (vloh@mrllp.com)	Leon Fregoso
17901 Von Karman Avenue, 10th Floor	
Irvine, CA 92614	
Phone: (714) 557-7990	
Fax: (714) 557-7991	

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 1, 2021, at Manhattan Beach, California.